#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2011 Second Round September 28, 2011

Project Number CA-2011-121

**Project Name** Paseo Verde III Family Apartments

Site Address: Northeast Corner of Cypress Avenue and Valley Boulevard

Fontana, CA 92335 County: San Bernardino

Census Tract: 33.000

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total

Requested: \$834,321 \$0 Recommended: \$834,321 \$0

**Applicant Information** 

Applicant: Fontana Valley Blvd. III Housing Partners, L.P.

Contact: Frank Cardone

Address: 18201 Von Karman Ave. Suite 900

Irvine, CA 92612

Phone: 949-660-7272 Fax: 949-660-7273

Email: fcardone@related.com

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): Related/Fontana Valley Blvd. III Development Co., LLC

Fontana Valley Blvd. III Housing Partners MGP, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Developer: Related Development Company of California

Investor: US Bank Community Development Management Agent: Related Management Company

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 12 Total # of Units: 46

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 46 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: N/A

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 5 10 % 40% AMI: 10 20 % 50% AMI: 31 40 %

#### Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: Inland Empire Region

TCAC Project Analyst: DC Navarrette

## **Unit Mix**

32 2-Bedroom Units 14 3-Bedroom Units

46 Total Units

		2011 Rents Targeted % of Area Median	2011 Rents Actual % of Area Median	Proposed Rent (including
Uni	t Type & Number	Income	Income	utilities)
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	29%	\$442
7	2 Bedrooms	40%	39%	\$590
22	2 Bedrooms	50%	49%	\$737
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	29%	\$511
3	3 Bedrooms	40%	39%	\$681
9	3 Bedrooms	50%	49%	\$851

Project Financing Residential

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$20,312,378 Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$175
Estimated Residential Project Cost: \$20,312,378 Per Unit Cost: \$441,573

# **Construction Financing**

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount	
US Bank	\$7,632,903	US Bank	\$959,000	
Fontana H.A Pre Development	\$1,100,000	Fontana H.A Permanent Loan	\$6,431,000	
Fontana H.A Construction	\$5,331,000	Fontana H.A Land Donation	\$4,580,000	
Fontana H.A Land Donation	\$4,580,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$8,342,378	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$834,237	TOTAL	\$20,312,378	
Tax Credit Equity	\$834,238			

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:		\$7,130,951	
130% High Cost Adjustment:		Yes	
Applicable Fraction:		100.00%	
Qualified Basis:		\$9,270,236	
Applicable Rate:		9.00%	
Maximum Annual Federal Credit:		\$834,321	
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:		\$1,800,000	
Approved Developer Fee in Eligible	Basis:	\$1,400,000	
Investor:	US Bank Commun	nity Development	
Federal Tax Credit Factor:		\$0.99990	

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

## **Eligible Basis and Basis Limit**

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$7,130,951
Actual Eligible Basis: \$14,734,060
Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$9,145,344
Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$10,052,061

## **Adjustments to Basis Limit:**

Local Development Impact Fees

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

First: Large Family Second: 75.325%

## **Cost Analysis and Line Item Review**

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.00% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.40%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

**Special Issues/Other Significant Information:** This is part of a 3-phase development where the manager's unit was built as part of Phase 1.

**Legal Status:** Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

#### **Local Reviewing Agency:**

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Fontana, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

**Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual State Tax Credits/Total \$834,321 \$0

### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness 180-Day Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

**Additional Conditions: None** 

Points System	Max. Possible Points	Requested Points	Points Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of transit stop, service every 30 minutes in rush hours	6	6	6
Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public	2	2	2
Within 1/4 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	5	5	5
Large Family proj. w/i ½ mile of public school project children may attend	2	2	2
Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of a pharmacy	1	1	1
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 60 hours/year	5	5	5
After school program for school age children, minimum of 10 hours/week	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: GreenPoint Rated	5	5	5
Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 18%	2	2	2
Develop project to requirements of: GreenPoint Rated Multifamily 100	3	3	3
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.